



























8) New Station Plaza at IBM Building















		Ass	umptions				
		Const	ruction Costs			Factors	
Туре				Total Unit C	ost, Including 10% Contingency	Current Marke	et
Market-rate Housi	Rental		(\$ per uni	t)	116,802		
Market-rate Housii	For-Sale		(\$ per uni	t)	235,551	Inflation	
ACC - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Rental		(\$ per uni	t)	122,058	TOD	
Affordable Housin	g For-Sale		(\$ per uni	t)	199,904	Green	
Off	ice/Commercial		(\$ per s.f	.)	141	2010 Prices	
	Retail		(\$ per s.f		138		
Ştri	uctured Parking		(\$ per space		23,272		
500	actured ranking	Infr	rastructure	-7	25,272		
Commercial Infrastr	ucture Developr	ment Site					
Lir	near Feet of Infra	structure	(fee	t)	4,285	Total s.f.	
	Average Stre	eet Width	(fee	t)	35	Market-rat	e
	Total Square	e Footage	(s.f	2.)	149,975	Housing	ت
	Infrastructure Co	ost per s.f.		\$	30		_
		Subtotal		\$	4,499,250	Affordable Housing  Office/0  F  Structu  O0	
Total Infrastructure I	Development Sit				. , :		
	near Feet of Infra		(fee	t)	37,000		
LII			(fee				
	Average Stre						
	Total Square	-	(s.f		1,295,000		
	Infrastructure Co	-		\$	35		
		Subtotal		\$	45,325,000		
Other Infrastructure	Improvements						
	Park/Lan	dscaping		\$	2,312,500		
						Summary Pro	F
				Phase I		•	
			2010	2011	2012	2013	
Net Operating Inco	ome						
Market-rate	Rental		0	2,792,779	5,177,813	5,242,413	
Housing	For - Sale		0	0	0	0	
Affordable	Rental		0	412,825	425,210	659,117	
Housing	For - Sale		0	0	0	0	I
Office/Com			103,080	87,180	65,258	57,062	
Reta			0	772,394	1,432,019	2,015,682	
Structured	_		103.000	383,977	395,497	2,449,674	
Total Net C  Development Cost	perating Incom	e	103,080	4,449,156	7,495,796	10,423,949	
Market-rate	Rental		68,731,299			32,647,752	
Housing	For-Sale		00,731,299			0	
Affordable	Rental		12,291,174			6,278,013	
Housing	For-Sale		0			0	
Office/Com			0			7,598,816	
Reta	il		17,480,639			15,596,081	
Structured			5,283,524			26,532,516	
Land Acqu			7,145,740			1,998,460	
Total Development	Costs		110,932,376			90,651,638	
Annual Cash Flow		Eactors	2010	2011	2012	2012	
		Factors	2010	2011	2012	2013	
Net Operation			103,080	4,449,156	7,495,796	10,423,949	
Debt Se			(050,460)	4,429,598	4,429,598	4,429,598	
Taxe Total Asse		8%	(959,469)	(696,992)	410,566	663,481	
Total Asset Value Total Costs of Sale After Tax Proceeds from Sal Developer/Investor Equity Net C		5%					
			37,126,168	0	0	11,268,380	
		ash Flow	(36,053,619)	716,549	2,655,632	(5,937,510)	
		ue @ 10%		33,750,117			
ATIRE	R of Developme	nt Equity		19.15%			1
						year Develop	m
Total B	uild out		2010	2011	2012	2013	
Project Build out b	y Developmen	t Units					
	Rental (uni		529	529	529	780	

	Structured Parking	(s.f.)	73,1	63	73,163	73,163		440,569 440,569		440,569	865,912	865,	912	86	
	Total (less parking) (s.f.)		692,0	2,018 692,018		692,018		1,129,535 1,129,535		1,129,535	1,788,834	1,788	,834	1,7	
	Affordable Housing				Property Dis	position			Sources			Phase I	Ph	nase	
	Dallas AMI			Total Asset Value				Debt				59,903,483	67,	67,988,7	
\$			36,340	\$		305,113,2	89	Total Equity				51,028,893	22,0	662,	
	For - Rent Housing			Cost of Sale				TIF Equity			38%	13,311,885	10,	10,878,1	
50% AMI Monthly Rent			\$ 15,255,664			64	0	Other Equity Sources*		2% 590		5	16,33		
\$	,			Taxable Gain				Developer / Investor Equity		uity	61%	37,126,168		268,3	
80% AMI Monthly Rent				\$ 65,287,404			04	* Infrastructure Participation, Brwonfields Assesment							
\$			678.35		Capital Gain	s Taxes								_	
For - Sale Housing			\$ 9,793,111			11	Financing Assumptions				TIF Phase				
	50% AMI Mortgage			Loan Repayment				Construction Loan			6 25%	6.25% Phase I			
\$			74,670	\$		145,402,8	10		Construction Edun		0.2370	'	110361	+	
	80% AMI Mortgage		Net Cash Flow				Permanent Financing (250 bps over 7yr Treasury) 6.00%			6.00%	Phase II				
\$	\$ 119,472		119,472	\$ 134,661,704		04	Debt Coverage			1.2 Ph		ase III			

The Vistas will be a mixed-income community, providing 20% of its units at affordable prices. 10% of the housing stock will be set aside for residents making 50% of median income (AMI) and another 10% will be reserved for residents at 80% AMI. These income restricted residences will ensure that The Vistas remains a vibrant mix

the credit markets, the financial model relies only on a primary mortgage, As liquidity returns into the market, the development team will examine other debt sources a mezzanine loans to further reduce the equity. By using conservative assumptions throughout the pro forma, the project has a higher upside return potential and developer. This public private partnership will request funding from three sources, Tax Increment Financing (TIF), Infrastructure Participation Grants, and Brownfield As ment Grants for each phase of development. The Vistas selected a TIF as the primary source of public funding because it will utilize a portion of the increased tax revenues generated from the development. By using a TIF as the primary source of public partnership, money does not have to be diverted to finance the site from other portions of the primary source of public partnership, money does not have to be diverted to finance the site from other portions of the primary source of public partnership, money does not have to be diverted to finance the site from other portions of the primary source of public partnership, money does not have to be diverted to finance the site from other portions of the primary source of public partnership, money does not have to be diverted to finance the site from other portions of the primary source of public partnership, money does not have to be diverted to finance the site from other portions of the primary source of public partnership, money does not have to be diverted to finance the site from other portions of the primary source of public partnership, money does not have to be diverted to finance the site from other portions of the primary source of public partnership, money does not have to be diverted to finance the site from other portions of the primary source of public partnership, money does not have to be diverted to finance the primary source of public partnership and the public partnership an the city's budget. These funding sources reduce the investor equity requirements of project, providing the returns to attract external investors

encourage investment into South Dallas. Through a comprehensive phasing strategy, conservative assumptions, and the creation of a public / private partnership, the project will be a financial and environmental success that will become a case study and showcase the success of introducing a walkable urban environment as a tool for redeveloping

